

## Lecture 4: Consumer Choice

September 19, 2017





#### Course Administration

Ripped from the Headlines

**Consumer Preferences and Utility** 

**Indifference** Curves

Income and the Budget Constraint

Making a Choice with Utility and the Budget Constraint

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ◆□▶ ◆□



- 1. Return PS 2, PS 4 posted
- 2. Please use scheduler to book office hours
- 3. Please come see me, and realize that office hours at the deadline book up

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

4. Any questions or outstanding issues?



### Examples of Floor and Ceilings from PS 2

- cap on therapy in Medicare: restricts supply, and patient faces market prices after cap
- Argentina limited the price of basic food items to control inflation → increase in demand for bread → bread shortage
- US limited prices on gasoline in the 1970s  $\rightarrow$  shortages
- Many examples of taxes (x% more for some goods), which are related, but not quite the same. Wait till Lecture 6.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

nin

RFH

Ind. Curves

Budget Co

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Optimizing

## How What You're Learning is Policy-Relevant

Ripped from Headlines presentation(s)

As a reminder, next week

### Afternoon

Finder	Presenter
lan Tang	Stephen Haas
Chris Rogers	Ilhman Dehry
Emily Labandera	Danielle Schultz

### Evening

Finder	Presenter
Elisa Walker	Erika Ross
Vanessa Lopez	Justin Pollard
Hannah Seligman	Ben Darland

Admin	RFH L	Utility	Ind. Curves	Budget Cons.	Optimizing

### Why Do We Study the Consumer's Problem?

- · Build up to the demand curve from first principles
- Understand consumer choices
- Clearly illuminate areas where policy can act
- Illustrate welfare consequences of policy choices
- Understand intuition of constrained maximization

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Admin	RFH	Utility	Ind. Curves	Budget Cons.	Optimizing

# Utility

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

Admin	RFH	Utility	Ind. Curves	Budget Cons.	Optimizing

## Assumptions about Consumer Preferences

### 1. Completeness and Rankability

- You can compare all your consumption choices
- For two bundles A and B, you always either
  - prefer A to B
  - prefer B to A
  - are indifferent between A and B
- 2. More is better at least no worse than less
- 3. Transitivity
  - If A is preferred to B, and B to C, then A > C
- 4. The more you have of a particular good, the less of something else you are willing to give up to get more of that good



### Overall satisfaction or happiness

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ



### Overall satisfaction or happiness

- Measured in utils!
- This framework allows us to describe what consumption or habits make you happier than other consumptions or habits

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

• It's not a tool for comparing across people



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Most general U = U(X, Y)



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Most general U = U(X, Y)They can take many forms, such as

• 
$$U = U(X, Y) = XY$$

• 
$$U = U(X, Y) = X + Y$$

• 
$$U = U(X, Y) = X^{0.7} Y^{0.3}$$



**Marginal utility**  $\equiv$  "additional utility consumer receives from an additional unit of a good or service"

$$MU_X = \frac{\Delta U(X, Y)}{\Delta X} \left( = \frac{\partial U}{\partial X} \right)$$
$$MU_Y = \frac{\Delta U(X, Y)}{\Delta Y} \left( = \frac{\partial U}{\partial Y} \right)$$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ ―臣 … のへで



**Marginal utility**  $\equiv$  "additional utility consumer receives from an additional unit of a good or service"

$$MU_X = \frac{\Delta U(X, Y)}{\Delta X} \left( = \frac{\partial U}{\partial X} \right)$$
$$MU_Y = \frac{\Delta U(X, Y)}{\Delta Y} \left( = \frac{\partial U}{\partial Y} \right)$$

What is generally true about marginal utility of X as consumption of X increases?

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ



- Ordinal: we can rank bundles from best to worst
- Not cardinal: we cannot say how much one bundle is preferred to another in fixed units

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

• We cannot make interpersonal comparisons

No other assumptions on utility apart from the four preference assumptions.

Admin RFH Utility **Ind. Curves** Budget Cons. Optimizin

## Indifference Curves

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ



- A consumer is indifferent between two bundles  $(X_1, Y_1)$  and  $(X_2, Y_2)$  when  $U(X_1, Y_1) = U(X_2, Y_2)$
- An indifference curve is a line where utility is constant: a combination of all consumption bundles that give the same utility



### Working Up to an Indifference Curve

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Give me two items



- Give me two items
- Each axis is a quantity of those items
- Give me some points where you are equally happy

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ



### Working Up to an Indifference Curve

- Give me two items
- Each axis is a **quantity** of those items
- Give me some points where you are equally happy

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Give me a point where you are less happy



### Working Up to an Indifference Curve

- Give me two items
- Each axis is a **quantity** of those items
- Give me some points where you are equally happy
- Give me a point where you are less happy
- Give me some points where you are equally less happy

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()



Why Can We Draw Indifference Curves?

• Because of the assumptions we made at the beginning about preferences: completeness and rankability

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

All bundles have a utility level and we can rank them



### Indifference Curves Level and Slope

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

What does "more is better" tell us?



### Indifference Curves Level and Slope

What does "more is better" tell us?

- That higher indifference curves give more utility
- Curve must have a negative slope
  - Suppose that you increase your consumption of X
  - "More is better"  $\rightarrow$  you are happier
  - To be equally happy as before, you should give up some Y

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆三 > ◆三 > 三 のへの

min

r

Ind. Curves

Budget Con

Optimizing

## Indifference Curve Shape

- Curves never cross
  - it would violate transitivity
- Curves are U-like (convex) with respect to the origin
  - Comes from assumption about diminishing marginal utility
  - Your willingness to trade off differs along the curve



イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

Apartment size (square feet)

э



### Steepness of the Indifference Curve

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ★ 国▶ ★ 国▶ - 国 - のへで

- We know that you are equally happy anywhere along the indifference curve
- So what changes as you move along the curve?



### Steepness of the Indifference Curve

- We know that you are equally happy anywhere along the indifference curve
- So what changes as you move along the curve?
  - you are trading off X and Y
  - the rate at which you trade them off tells us how much you value them



イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト



э







 $\mathcal{O} \land \mathcal{O}$ 

















## Quantifying the Trade-off in the Indifference Curve

- How much of X are you willing to give up for Y?
- Marginal Rate of Substitution is the trade-off

Define

$$MRS_{XY} = \frac{MU_X}{MU_Y}$$
  
 $MRS_{XY} = (-1) * \text{slope of indifference curve}$ 

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Admin RFH Utility Ind. Curves Budget Cons.	Optimizing
--	------------

## Quantifying the Trade-off in the Indifference Curve

- How much of X are you willing to give up for Y?
- Marginal Rate of Substitution is the trade-off

Define

$$MRS_{XY} = \frac{MU_X}{MU_Y}$$
  
 $MRS_{XY} = (-1) *$  slope of indifference curve

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- A rate of change along the indifference curve
- Is it the same everywhere on the curve?
Admin RFH Utility Ind. Curves Budget Cons. Optimizing

Quantifying the Trade-off in the Indifference Curve

- How much of X are you willing to give up for Y?
- Marginal Rate of Substitution is the trade-off

Define

$$MRS_{XY} = \frac{MU_X}{MU_Y}$$
$$MRS_{XY} = (-1) * \text{slope of indifference curve}$$

- A rate of change along the indifference curve
- Is it the same everywhere on the curve? Not necessarily.
- If you want a derivation, see the textbook!



- Suppose we have two goods that are perfect complements
- X and Y being perfect complements means each is useless without the other
- What do the indifference curves look like?
- We write this utility as  $U = \min\{aX, bY\}$





# Curves for Perfect Complements

Work with your neighbor!

- Suppose we have two goods that are perfect complements
- X and Y being perfect complements means each is useless without the other
- What do the indifference curves look like?
- We write this utility as  $U = \min\{aX, bY\}$





Work with your neighbor!

Q<sub>v</sub>

Qx

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ★ 国▶ ★ 国▶ - 国 - のへで

- Suppose we have two goods that are perfect substitutes
- What do the indifference curves look like?

n RF

Ind. Curves

Budget Con

Optimizing

# Curves for Substitutes

Work with your neighbor!

- Suppose we have two goods that are perfect substitutes
- What do the indifference curves look like?
- Write as U = aX + bY



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ★ 国▶ ★ 国▶ - 国 - のへで



Admin RFH Utility Ind. Curves **Budget Cons.** Opt

# Budget Constraint

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ



- 1. Each good has a fixed price and infinite supply
- 2. Each consumer has a fixed amount of income to spend

3. The consumer cannot save or borrow



Budget constraint:

$$I = P_X Q_X + P_Y Q_Y$$

- **feasible bundle** ≡ combinations of X and Y that the consumer can purchase with his income
- infeasible bundle  $\equiv$  all the combinations the consumer is just too poor to get

Admin

Ind. Curves

Budget Cons.

Optimizing

# Drawing the Budget Constraint

What if you spend all your money on X or Y?





# Drawing the Budget Constraint





### Drawing the Budget Constraint





## Drawing the Budget Constraint



・ロト ・ 一下・ ・ モト ・ モト・

€ 990





◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?



Algebra of the slope

$$I = P_X Q_X + P_Y Q_Y$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ



### Slope of the Budget Constraint

Algebra of the slope

$$I = P_X Q_X + P_Y Q_Y$$
$$P_Y Q_Y = I - P_X Q_X$$
$$Q_Y = \frac{I}{P_Y} - \frac{P_X Q_X}{P_Y}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?



#### Slope of the Budget Constraint

Algebra of the slope

$$I = P_X Q_X + P_Y Q_Y$$
$$P_Y Q_Y = I - P_X Q_X$$
$$Q_Y = \frac{I}{P_Y} - \frac{P_X Q_X}{P_Y}$$
$$Q_Y = -\frac{P_X}{P_Y} Q_X + \frac{I}{P_Y}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

So an additional unit of  $Q_X$  requires you to give up  $\frac{P_X}{P_Y}$  of  $Q_Y$ 

Admin F	RFH	Utility	Ind. (	Curves	Budget Cons.	Optimizin
---------	-----	---------	--------	--------	--------------	-----------

#### What Affects the Position of the Budget Constraint?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Admin	RFH	Utility	Ind. Curves	Budget Cons.	Optimizing

#### What Affects the Position of the Budget Constraint?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

- Prices
- Income



#### What Happens if the Price of *Y* Decreases?



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ─ 臣 ─ のへで

Admin RFH Utility Ind. Curves Budget Cons. Optimizing

#### What Happens if the Price of *Y* Decreases?



æ















- Things that change the slope
  - Change in prices,  $P_X$  or  $P_Y$
- Things that don't change the slope, but move the line in and out

• Change in income

Admin RFH Utility Ind. Curves Budget Cons. **Optimizing** 

# Optimizing

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ○ ● ● ● ●



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ★ 国▶ ★ 国▶ - 国 - のへで

- Maximize your utility given your budget constraint
- How do you do it?



- Maximize your utility given your budget constraint
- How do you do it?



▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()



- Utility is maximized, given the budget constraint, when the slope of the indifference curve is tangent to the budget constraint
- tangency  $\rightarrow$  equality

$$-MRS_{XY} = -\frac{P_X}{P_Y}$$



- Utility is maximized, given the budget constraint, when the slope of the indifference curve is tangent to the budget constraint
- tangency  $\rightarrow$  equality

$$-MRS_{XY} = -\frac{P_X}{P_Y}$$
$$-\frac{MU_X}{MU_Y} = -\frac{P_X}{P_Y}$$



- Utility is maximized, given the budget constraint, when the slope of the indifference curve is tangent to the budget constraint
- tangency  $\rightarrow$  equality

$$-MRS_{XY} = -\frac{P_X}{P_Y}$$
$$-\frac{MU_X}{MU_Y} = -\frac{P_X}{P_Y}$$
$$\frac{MU_X}{P_X} = \frac{MU_Y}{P_Y}$$



By definition

- if  $MRS_{XY} = P_X/P_Y$  you are optimizing
- if  $MRS_{XY} \neq P_X/P_Y$  you are **not** optimizing\*

 $\ensuremath{^*}$  unless you are at a corner solution, which we'll get to in a few slides

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()



Sarah gets utility from soda (S) and hotdogs (H). Her utility function is  $U = S^{0.5}H^{0.5}$ ,  $MU_S = 0.5\frac{H^{0.5}}{S^{0.5}}$ , and  $MU_H = 0.5\frac{S^{0.5}}{H^{0.5}}$ . Sarah's income is \$12, and the prices of soda and hotdogs are \$2 and \$3, respectively.

- 1. Draw Sarah's budget constraint
- 2. What amount of sodas and hotdogs makes Sarah happiest, given her budget constraint? (Recall that you have two equations and two unknowns.)



# A Usual Maximization of Utility s.t. Budget Constraint



- 4 同 ト 4 国 ト 4 国 ト

æ

Must the indifference curve always be tangent?



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ






Three key things to note

- Consumer is still maximizing utility
- He is not consuming both goods
- Is the indifference curve is tangent to budget constraint?

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ





Three key things to note

- Consumer is still maximizing utility
- He is not consuming both goods
- Is the indifference curve is tangent to budget constraint? No

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ



## What We Did This Class

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

- 1. Preferences and utility
- 2. Indifference curves
- 3. Budget constraint
- 4. Optimization



- Turn in Problem Set 4
- Read Chapter 5
  - Omit income Engel curves from 5.1
  - Omit inferior goods and Giffen goods at the end of 5.3

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ = 臣 = のへで

• Two more classes before midterm