# Tutorial 4: Bar Charts

Leah Brooks

#### February 18, 2019

Today is dedicated to bar charts: a workhorse data visualization product. Bar charts are great for comparisons across categories, and sometimes for comparisons across groups. Today, in addition to basic bar charts, you'll learn how to make

- grouped bars
- faceted bars
- stacked bars

Like last class, we'll start with a small dataset and then turn to a larger one.

To achieve bar charts that communicate, you'll frequently need to modify the underlying data frame. We also learn some steps to do this. Specifically, we review some R commands we learned previously and introduce new R commands, including

- as.factor()
- levels()
- mutate()
- going from wide data to long data with gather()

# A. What to start with

As always, we need to load the packages I've listed below. If R tells you you don't have these packages, you can use install.packages() to load them.

library(ggplot2)

```
## Warning: package 'ggplot2' was built under R version 3.4.4
library(dplyr)
```

```
##
## Attaching package: 'dplyr'
## The following objects are masked from 'package:stats':
##
## filter, lag
## The following objects are masked from 'package:base':
##
## intersect, setdiff, setequal, union
library(tidyr)
```

```
## Warning: package 'tidyr' was built under R version 3.4.4
library(scales)
```

Alternatively, you can use the command require() which checks for the package and loads it if it does not exist.

# **Runs Across the Border**

Four North Korean soldiers have defected south this year -- the most since at least 2000



Figure 1: North Korean Defectors

# **B.** Basic Bar Chart

Last year, a student brought Figure 1 to class as her "Good/Bad/Ugly" submission. We use these data to get started with bar chart examples.

#### B.1. Input data

Figure 1's bar chart is not the best way to make the point the author was trying to convey: it's odd to use a bar chart for time, and each year only takes on values 1 to 4, but the chart seems to suggest that you could get 1.5.

We begin by modifying this chart. To modify, we need a dataframe. Below I create a small dataframe based on the information in the chart and print it to make sure it seems ok.

"1","0","3","0","	o",
"1","1","4"))	

nkd

##		year	defectors
##	1	2000	0
##	2	2001	0
##	3	2002	1
##	4	2003	0
##	5	2004	0
##	6	2005	0
##	7	2006	0
##	8	2007	0
##	9	2008	2
##	10	2009	0
##	11	2010	1
##	12	2011	0
##	13	2012	3
##	14	2013	0
##	15	2014	0
##	16	2015	1
##	17	2016	1
##	18	2017	4

# B.2. Regular bar chart

Let's make the most basic possible bar chart, by just adding the geom\_bar() command. Note that our dataset is at the year level, and that R adds up the number of years for each number of defectors.





This is missing any kind of helpful label to be even vaguely interpretable, so using the labs() command we introduced last class, I add labels:

#### B.3. Colors within bars

What the above graph is missing is some sense of time. Are the high-defection years recent? To figure this out, I color in the bars that are from the last five years. To "color in", you need to have a variable that indicates whether a year is in the last five.

Below we create a new variable called last.five.yrs that takes on two values: "after 2012" and "2012 or before," using the ifselse() command from the previous class.

We also need to use as.numeric(as.character()) around the year variable. It seems that the year variable is a factor, so its actual numeric representation is meaningless. But if we want to use an operator like >, we need a number, not a factor or a character. So we first make year a character variable with as.character() and then make that character variable numeric with as.numeric().

After I create this new variable, I check on it: are there as many "last five years" as we should expect?

```
# create a variable for the last five years
nkd$last.five.yrs <- ifelse(as.numeric(as.character(nkd$year)) > 2012,"after 2012","2012 or before")
table(nkd$last.five.yrs)
```

##					
##	2012	or	before	after	2012
##			13		5



With this new variable in hand, we fill in the bars, using the fill= command.

This graph looks a little funny, because the new years are on bottom. Visually, this is disconcerting. There are at least two ways to fix this problem.

The easiest way is to use position = position\_stack(reverse=TRUE) to flip the order of the bars, as below:



8

Here we have two categories, so this works fine. But sometimes this fix is insufficient. An alternative method is to make the new variable a factor, and make sure the order of the factor is as we prefer. First, make the factor variable by making a character variable (last.five.yrsf) and then making that variable a factor with as.factor(). We can check the levels of the factor and its ordering with levels().

```
# make a factor variable, then re-order it
nkd$last.five.yrsff <- as.factor(nkd$last.five.yrs)
str(nkd)</pre>
```

```
## 'data.frame': 18 obs. of 4 variables:
## $ year : Factor w/ 18 levels "2000","2001",..: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 ...
## $ defectors : Factor w/ 5 levels "0","1","2","3",..: 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 3 1 ...
## $ last.five.yrs : chr "2012 or before" "2012 or before" "2012 or before" "2012 or before" ...
## $ last.five.yrsff: Factor w/ 2 levels "2012 or before",..: 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 ...
## note, if x is not a factor use levels(factor(x))
levels(nkd$last.five.yrsff)
```

## [1] "2012 or before" "after 2012"

Now when we use the factor variable to fill, things still look bad. The ordering of the bars doesn't match the ordering of the legend.



This is because the factor variable is not in the right order. Below we re-order the levels of the factor variable with the **levels** command. The first input into this command is the variable to re-order and the second is the order in which to put them. We define that order as what's currently number two, followed by what's currently number 1.

Check the re-ordering with levels().

```
# make the factor levels in a different order
nkd$last.five.yrsff <- factor(nkd$last.five.yrsff,levels(factor(nkd$last.five.yrsff))[c(2,1)])
levels(nkd$last.five.yrsff)
```

## [1] "after 2012" "2012 or before"

Now, re-do the graph, remaving the position\_stack command:



# C. Bigger data

It's useful to have small data to get started. However, in general you'll be working with data that are much larger - or at least start out much larger than the defector dataset.

#### C.1. Load data

So we'll practice bar graphs with a much larger dataset – the one we used last class on DC-area block groups. Find wherever it was you saved it last time, or re-download, following the instructions in tutorial 3.

block.groups <- read.csv("H:/pppa\_data\_viz/2018/tutorials/lecture02/acs\_bgs20082012\_dmv\_20180123.csv")</pre>

#### C.2. Set up data

We are going to start with a focus on household income, which is variable B19001. We'll begin with a bar chart for the entire metro area, which means we need a dataframe that has one observation per household income type. We start with summarize() to add up the observations and make a new dataframe called metro.

Note that the syntax for this command is .data =, not data =. This is a dplyr syntax requirement. I'm not entirely sure why they do this (though I'm certain there's a reason; if you learn it, let me know!).

```
# lets do housing unit type if it exists
# bars basic: total housing units for metro area
metro <- summarize(.data = block.groups, B19001e2 = sum(B19001e2),</pre>
                                          B19001e3 = sum(B19001e3),
                                          B19001e4 = sum(B19001e4),
                   B19001e5 = sum(B19001e5),
                   B19001e6 = sum(B19001e6),
                   B19001e7 = sum(B19001e7),
                   B19001e8 = sum(B19001e8),
                   B19001e9 = sum(B19001e9),
                   B19001e10 = sum(B19001e10),
                   B19001e11 = sum(B19001e11),
                   B19001e12 = sum(B19001e12),
                   B19001e13 = sum(B19001e13),
                   B19001e14 = sum(B19001e14),
                   B19001e15 = sum(B19001e15),
                   B19001e16 = sum(B19001e16),
                   B19001e17 = sum(B19001e17))
metro
```

```
B19001e2 B19001e3 B19001e4 B19001e5 B19001e6 B19001e7 B19001e8 B19001e9
##
                          207501
                                   213894
                                             214987
## 1
       307670
                209124
                                                      222597
                                                                214435
                                                                         227519
##
     B19001e10 B19001e11 B19001e12 B19001e13 B19001e14 B19001e15 B19001e16
## 1
        202610
                  411089
                             532979
                                       713353
                                                  526071
                                                             361313
                                                                       412551
##
     B19001e17
## 1
        428524
```

This one-observation dataframe is great in terms of the underlying data: it has exactly what we need. But it won't work with ggplot, as it's wide instead of long. "Wide" means that the data are in the variable labels; long means that the same data in the label will go into a new variable.

One way to take data from wide to long, is a command called gather() from tidyr, which does exactly this. It asks for an input dataframe, the name of your new column that you want to put the label into (key), the name of the new column into which you want to put the value (value), and finally, the variables that you want to made long.

We have a long list of variables to make long, so we use a command to get a list of all of them. The command grep() looks for the thing in the first part of the command ("B19001") in the thing in the second part of the command (names(metro)) and checkitout is the output. It looks odd, but it is the column numbers where any column has the letters "B19001" in its name.

We then use gather() to make a new dataframe (metro.long) that pushes all household income numbers into one category. And finally we print the final product to make sure it is what we expect.

```
# but this is wide, not long
# make it long to make a bar chart
checkitout <- grep("B19001", names(metro))
checkitout</pre>
```

**##** [1] 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16

metro.long <- gather(data = metro, key = invar, value = B19001, grep("B19001", names(metro)) )
metro.long</pre>

## invar B19001 ## 1 B19001e2 307670 ## 2 B19001e3 209124 ## 3 B19001e4 207501 ## 4 B19001e5 213894 ## 5 B19001e6 214987 ## 6 B19001e7 222597 ## 7 B19001e8 214435 ## 8 B19001e9 227519 ## 9 B19001e10 202610 ## 10 B19001e11 411089 ## 11 B19001e12 532979 ## 12 B19001e13 713353 ## 13 B19001e14 526071 ## 14 B19001e15 361313 ## 15 B19001e16 412551 ## 16 B19001e17 428524

#### C.3. Make a graph, finally

We are now ready to make a bar graph! However, because we are just asking R to plot a value in the data already – not to add up observations, we need to make this clear. We can do this in one of two ways.



The first way is to use geom\_col() which knows that it should put the data in the y variable, and not count the observations.



Alternatively, we can still use geom\_bar(), but let R know that we want to use the actual data with a command inside geom\_bar(): stat = "identity".

#### C.4. Fixing legend

The labels above look terrible! R uses the values of **invar** since it doesn't know what these values mean. We can fix this by making a factor variable with decent labels. We do this by combining **ifelse()** commands with the **as.factor()** command as below.

```
ifelse(metro.long$invar == "B19001e13", "75 to 100k",
ifelse(metro.long$invar == "B19001e14", "100 to 125k",
ifelse(metro.long$invar == "B19001e15", "125 to 150k",
ifelse(metro.long$invar == "B19001e16", "150 to 200k",
ifelse(metro.long$invar == "B19001e17", "> 200k","bad"))))))))
```

metro.long

##		invar	B19001	B19001_lab
##	1	B19001e2	307670	< 10k
##	2	B19001e3	209124	10 to 15k
##	3	B19001e4	207501	15 to 20k
##	4	B19001e5	213894	20 to 25k
##	5	B19001e6	214987	25 to 30k
##	6	B19001e7	222597	30 to 35k
##	7	B19001e8	214435	35 to 40k
##	8	B19001e9	227519	40 to 45k
##	9	B19001e10	202610	45 to 50k
##	10	B19001e11	411089	50 to 60k
##	11	B19001e12	532979	60 to 75k
##	12	B19001e13	713353	75 to 100k
##	13	B19001e14	526071	100 to 125k
##	14	B19001e15	361313	125 to $150k$
##	15	B19001e16	412551	150 to 200k
##	16	B19001e17	428524	> 200k

Here's the graph with these new labels. Note the change in **x** variable.

```
# looking at the new labels
b4.1 <- ggplot() +
geom_col(data = metro.long, aes(x = B19001_lab, y = B19001))
b4.1</pre>
```





But it's pretty hard to read this way. Make the bars horizontal using coord\_flip() and they are much easier to read:

However, this chart is still kind of nutty, because the bars are not in the right order. It's "right" in some sense, but unbearable to read. So we use the levels() command to re-order the factor.

```
# wait! bars in wack-a-doodle order
is.factor(metro.long$B19001_lab)
```

```
## [1] TRUE
```

```
levels(metro.long$B19001_lab)
```

## [1] "< 10k" "> 200k" "10 to 15k" "100 to 125k" "125 to 150k" ## [6] "15 to 20k" "150 to 200k" "20 to 25k" "25 to 30k" "30 to 35k" ## [11] "35 to 40k" "40 to 45k" "45 to 50k" "50 to 60k" "60 to 75k" ## [16] "75 to 100k" metro.long\$B19001\_lab <- factor(metro.long\$B19001\_lab, levels = c("< 10k",</pre> "10 to 15k", "15 to 20k", "20 to 25k", "25 to 30k",

"30 to 35k",
"35 to 40k",
"40 to 45k",
"45 to 50k",
"50 to 60k",
"60 to 75k",
"75 to 100k",
"100 to 125k",
"125 to 150k",
"150 to 200k",
"> 200k"))



4e+05

B19001

. 6e+05

2e+05

Making the graph with the fixed order, things look a lot more pleasant:

10 to 15k -< 10k -

0e+00

Of course, the graph still has scientific notation, which is entirely illegible. Using the scales library, we can add scale\_y\_continuous(labels = comma) to fix this. You might be thinking that you want to fix the x axis, not the y one – why do we name the y axis? Because the y axis is the "true" axis for these data, or the one with which the variable is linked in the aes() command.

```
# get rid of scientific notation
require(scales)
b4.4 <- ggplot() +
  geom_col(data = metro.long, aes(x = B19001_lab, y = B19001)) +
  coord_flip() +
  scale_y_continuous(labels = comma) +
  labs(title = "Household Income Distribution",
        y = "number of households",
        x = "income category")
b4.4</pre>
```

b4.<mark>4</mark>



Household Income Distribution

It is sometimes also of interest to graph shares, rather than levels as we've done above. When you are looking at just one jurisdiction or unit, the shares and levels are visually equivalent. However, when you want to make cross-unit comparisons, it is frequently the share that is of interest.

To graph shares, first calculate them. We begin by finding the denominator: how many households are there in the metro area? We use the mutate() command, which adds a row to a dataframe based on within-dataframe calculations. Here we tell mutate to make a new variable B19001\_tot, which is the sum of the column B19001. As always, we print the dataframe to check this calculation.

```
# find total number of households
metro.long <- mutate(.data = metro.long, B19001_tot = sum(B19001))
metro.long</pre>
```

##		invar	B19001	B19001_lab	B19001_tot
##	1	B19001e2	307670	< 10k	5406217
##	2	B19001e3	209124	10 to 15k	5406217
##	3	B19001e4	207501	15 to 20k	5406217
##	4	B19001e5	213894	20 to 25k	5406217
##	5	B19001e6	214987	25 to 30k	5406217
##	6	B19001e7	222597	30 to 35k	5406217
##	7	B19001e8	214435	35 to 40k	5406217
##	8	B19001e9	227519	40 to 45k	5406217
##	9	B19001e10	202610	45 to 50k	5406217
##	10	B19001e11	411089	50 to 60k	5406217
##	11	B19001e12	532979	60 to 75k	5406217
##	12	B19001e13	713353	75 to 100k	5406217
##	13	B19001e14	526071	100 to $125k$	5406217
##	14	B19001e15	361313	125  to  150k	5406217
##	15	B19001e16	412551	150 to $200k$	5406217
##	16	B19001e17	428524	> 200k	5406217

Now we have everything we need to calculate the ratio: divide number of households in this income group by the total number of households:

# calculate share
metro.long\$B19001\_shr <- metro.long\$B19001 / metro.long\$B19001\_tot</pre>

With this share in hand, we re-do the chart

```
# make the chart
b4.5 <- ggplot() +
geom_col(data = metro.long, aes(x = B19001_lab, y = B19001_shr)) +
coord_flip() +
labs(title = "Household Income Distribution",
        y = "number of households",
        x = "income category")
b4.5
```



# C.5. Make data long for grouped bars

Now we are going to make grouped bar charts, which are bar charts that replicate the same set of bars across units. Our "units" are states. We'll focus on the education variable B15002. To make a grouped bar chart, we need a long dataframe at the state level. So instead of one observation for each education level, as we would have had above, now we'll have three: one for DC, one for MD and one for VA.

This coding is very similar to what we did above, except that we need to group the dataframe below we summarize. I use the same grep command to get a list of all variables that begin with B15002 into the list edvars. We also use the summarize\_at() command to summarize a bunch of similarly-named variables – so we don't have to name them all like above. Here we tell R the tbl we are using (a variant on a dataframe, and we use the grouped dataframe), the variables we want to summarize (the education ones in edvars) and the function we want to use (sum).

The resulting dataframe should have three observations. We check the output by printing metro.ed to the screen.

```
# grouped bars by state
edvars <- grep("B15002", names(block.groups))</pre>
edvars
## [1] 963 964 965 966 967 968 969 970 971 972 973 974 975 976 977 978 979
## [18] 980 981 982 983 984 985 986 987 988 989 990 991 992 993 994 995 996
## [35] 997
block.groups.g <- group by(block.groups, block.groups$STATE)</pre>
## Warning: `as_dictionary()` is soft-deprecated as of rlang 0.3.0.
## Please use `as_data_pronoun()` instead
## This warning is displayed once per session.
## Warning: `new_overscope()` is soft-deprecated as of rlang 0.2.0.
## Please use `new_data_mask()` instead
## This warning is displayed once per session.
## Warning: The `parent` argument of `new_data_mask()` is deprecated.
## The parent of the data mask is determined from either:
##
     * The `env` argument of `eval_tidy()`
##
##
     * Quosure environments when applicable
## This warning is displayed once per session.
## Warning: `overscope clean()` is soft-deprecated as of rlang 0.2.0.
## This warning is displayed once per session.
metro.ed <- summarize_at(.tbl = block.groups.g, .vars = edvars, .funs = sum)</pre>
metro.ed
## # A tibble: 3 x 36
     block.groups$STA~ B15002e1 B15002e2 B15002e3 B15002e4 B15002e5 B15002e6
##
##
                  <int>
                           <int>
                                    <int>
                                              <int>
                                                       <int>
                                                                <int>
                                                                          <int>
## 1
                          417432
                                  195790
                                               2612
                                                                 2423
                                                                           3419
                     11
                                                        1816
## 2
                     24
                         3875282 1823873
                                              17692
                                                       12847
                                                                25671
                                                                          32255
## 3
                     51 5356571 2572117
                                              29698
                                                       17867
                                                                35196
                                                                          66512
## # ... with 29 more variables: B15002e7 <int>, B15002e8 <int>,
       B15002e9 <int>, B15002e10 <int>, B15002e11 <int>, B15002e12 <int>,
## #
       B15002e13 <int>, B15002e14 <int>, B15002e15 <int>, B15002e16 <int>,
## #
## #
       B15002e17 <int>, B15002e18 <int>, B15002e19 <int>, B15002e20 <int>,
## #
       B15002e21 <int>, B15002e22 <int>, B15002e23 <int>, B15002e24 <int>,
## #
       B15002e25 <int>, B15002e26 <int>, B15002e27 <int>, B15002e28 <int>,
## #
       B15002e29 <int>, B15002e30 <int>, B15002e31 <int>, B15002e32 <int>,
## #
       B15002e33 <int>, B15002e34 <int>, B15002e35 <int>
```

There are way too many education categories to graph, so we combine them into three types: less than high school, more than high school, but less than a BA, and a BA or more. I use a R function called rowSums() to add up multiple rows. For this command, you name the columns you want to add in c(). Note that we need to add values for men plus values for women.

Now we have a wide dataframe with the three variables of interest and the state number, as well as a lot of other variables we don't need. You can check on this by seeing what's in metro.ed with the names() command.

```
names(metro.ed)
```

##	[1]	"block.groups\$STATE"	"B15002e1"	"B15002e2"
##	[4]	"B15002e3"	"B15002e4"	"B15002e5"
##	[7]	"B15002e6"	"B15002e7"	"B15002e8"
##	[10]	"B15002e9"	"B15002e10"	"B15002e11"
##	[13]	"B15002e12"	"B15002e13"	"B15002e14"
##	[16]	"B15002e15"	"B15002e16"	"B15002e17"
##	[19]	"B15002e18"	"B15002e19"	"B15002e20"
##	[22]	"B15002e21"	"B15002e22"	"B15002e23"
##	[25]	"B15002e24"	"B15002e25"	"B15002e26"
##	[28]	"B15002e27"	"B15002e28"	"B15002e29"
##	[31]	"B15002e30"	"B15002e31"	"B15002e32"
##	[34]	"B15002e33"	"B15002e34"	"B15002e35"
##	[37]	"ed.ls.hs"	"ed.lt.col"	"ed.gt.col"

To make this wide dataframe, first we re-name one variable that has a strange name that will cause trouble (first line below). We then keep only the state variable and the three education variables to make things easier when we use gather(). (If you don't drop variables you're not interested in, they are repeated in all long observations, which seems likely to cause confusion.)

Finally, we use gather to make a long dataset. Compare the long and wide formats so you understand what's going on.

```
# now make it long
names(metro.ed)[names(metro.ed) == "block.groups$STATE"] <- "state"</pre>
names(metro.ed)
##
    [1] "state"
                    "B15002e1"
                                "B15002e2"
                                             "B15002e3"
                                                         "B15002e4"
##
   [6] "B15002e5" "B15002e6" "B15002e7" "B15002e8"
                                                         "B15002e9"
## [11] "B15002e10" "B15002e11" "B15002e12" "B15002e13" "B15002e14"
## [16] "B15002e15" "B15002e16" "B15002e17" "B15002e18" "B15002e19"
## [21] "B15002e20" "B15002e21" "B15002e22" "B15002e23" "B15002e24"
  [26] "B15002e25" "B15002e26" "B15002e27" "B15002e28" "B15002e29"
##
## [31] "B15002e30" "B15002e31" "B15002e32" "B15002e33" "B15002e34"
## [36] "B15002e35" "ed.ls.hs" "ed.lt.col" "ed.gt.col"
metro.ed <- metro.ed[,c("state","ed.ls.hs","ed.lt.col","ed.gt.col")]</pre>
names(metro.ed)
## [1] "state"
                   "ed.ls.hs" "ed.lt.col" "ed.gt.col"
metro.long <- gather(data = metro.ed,</pre>
                     key = "ed.type",
                     value = "ed.num.ppl",
                     c("ed.ls.hs","ed.lt.col","ed.gt.col"))
metro.long
```

## # A tibble: 9 x 3

##		state	ed.type	ed.num.ppl
##		<int></int>	<chr></chr>	<dbl></dbl>
##	1	11	ed.ls.hs	131610.
##	2	24	ed.ls.hs	1454263.
##	3	51	ed.ls.hs	2051403.
##	4	11	ed.lt.col	72073.
##	5	24	ed.lt.col	1012698.
##	6	51	ed.lt.col	1447591.
##	7	11	ed.gt.col	213749.
##	8	24	ed.gt.col	1408321.
##	9	51	ed.gt.col	1857577.

### C.6. Grouped bars!

We are finally ready to make grouped bars. To understand what the grouping does, let's first use these data without any grouping. This graph shows the total number of people in all states in each education grouping.

b6.<mark>1</mark>



Education Type by State in Metro Area

To make these into grouped bars, we start by changing the x variable to state. To have grouped, rather than stacked, bars, we use position = position\_dodge(). We'll keep refining this picture for a bit.



Education Type by State in Metro Area

First, the x axis is uninterpretable and uneven. To fix, we make state a factor:





It is sometimes preferable to do such a graph as "small multiples," which we can do with facet\_wrap() as below:



Education Type by State in Metro Area

In your homeowrk, you'll undertake a set of fixes to make this graph look decent:

- make shares to compare across states
- label states
- clear up education labeling
- get rid of useless background

### C.7. Stacked bars

Finally, we consider stacked bars. These should be used with caution, but can be very useful in the appropriate circumstances.

Here is a basic stacked bar by education type, filled in by state.

```
# stacked by education type
b7.1 <- ggplot() +
  geom_col(data = metro.long, aes(x = ed.type, y = ed.num.ppl, fill = state)) +
  scale_y_continuous(labels = comma) +
  labs(title = "Education Type by State in Metro Area",
       y = "number of households",
       x = "education type")
```

```
b7.1
```



Education Type by State in Metro Area

This isn't a very natural way to look at the data – usually we want to make cross-state comparisons and we can do that by making the state factor variable the x variable (with labels) and filling by education type (which we also make a factor with labels).





Education Type by State in Metro Area

# D. Homework

- 1. Do the graph clean-up listed in C.6.
- 2. Make a simple bar chart (no grouping) without a legend box (put labels on the graph if needed) from a new dataset (not one we used in class already).
- 3. Re-do the creation of a state-level long dataset from C.6. with another variable from the block.groups dataframe and make a grouped bar chart to show it.