## Lecture 2:

When You Need Graphs and

How We See Graphs and
Merging

January 27, 2020

## Overview

Course Administration

Good, Bad and Ugly

Lecture 1 Addendum

Few, Chapters 3 and 5

Merging

## Course Administration

1. Any trouble submitting tutorials? questions?
2. Questions/issues with readings?
3. Make sure you're signed up for Piazza - email me if you are not
4. Be sure to check online listing for good/bad/ugly
5. Addition to syllabus: WSJ's Luis Melgar on March 30
6. One-page proposal is due next week
7. Anything else?

# Next Week's Good Bad and Ugly 

| Finders, send link Wed. by noon. |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  | Finder | Commenter |
| 1 | Lindsay R | Tereese S |
| 2 | Kim W | Danielle C |
| 3 | Anna W | David N |

Email me ASAP if you're not on the google sheet.

## Tufte's Three Routes to a Bad Graph

1. Data are bad
2. Graphics are rotten
3. Graphics are irrelevant

## Tufte's Three Routes to a Bad Graph

1. Data are bad
2. Graphics are rotten
3. Graphics are irrelevant

## Inappropriate Data to Make This Point

## Inappropriate Data to Make This Point

## Heart Disease Strikes Back Across the U.S., Even in Healthy Places

Middle-aged people are increasingly dying from heart disease in cities across the country-including exercisemad Colorado

Metro areas with the largest rate increases

| 1. Lexington, Ky. | 27.9\% | 6. Beaumont, Texas | 24.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2. Atlantic City, N.J. | 25.7 | 7. Fort Wayne, Ind. | 23.9 |
| 3. Corpus Christi, Texas | 25.7 | 8. Greeley, Colo. | 23.5 |
| 4. Lincoln, Neb. | 25.1 | 9. Colo. Springs, Colo. | 23.3 |
| 5. Fort Collins, Colo. | $\mathbf{2 4 . 4}$ | 10. Kennewick, Wash. | 22.5 |

Few:
Visual Perception and Graphical Communication

## When Should You Use Tables vs. Graphs?

- Tables are for when
- you care about the actual numbers
- you have very few numbers


## When Should You Use Tables vs. Graphs?

- Tables are for when
- you care about the actual numbers
- you have very few numbers
- Graphs are for when
- you care about trends or general tendencies
- you have more numbers than a table can support
- the exact values are not critical
- you wish to highlight a particular relationship


## Starting with the Table

Job Satisfaction By Income, Education, and Age

|  | College Degrees |  | No College Degrees |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Income | Under 50 | 50 \& over | Under 50 | 50 \& over |
| Up to $\$ 50,000$ | 643 | 793 | 590 | 724 |
| Over $\$ 50,000$ | 735 | 928 | 863 | 662 |

Few, Chapter 3, Figure 3.13

## Version One of a Set of Numbers



## Version One of a Set of Numbers



What do you think the point of this picture is?
Few, Chapter 3, Figure 3.15

## Version Two of the Same Set of Numbers



## Version Two of the Same Set of Numbers



And the point of this picture?

## Few Chapter 5: Drawing Attention

1. working memory
2. preattentive processing

- form
- color
- spatial position

3. applying to design
4. gestalt principles of visual perception

## Working Memory

We don't have much of it

## Working Memory

We don't have much of it

- people can remember 3 to 4 visual encodings for a chart
- therefore, more than about 4 colors as identification are distracting
- good visuals can stick in long-term memory


## Preattentive Processing

Why is this so important? Find the 5 s.

## 48921652097520589

## Preattentive Processing

Why is this so important? Find the 5 s.

## 48921652097520589

And now find the 5 s.

$$
489216 \mathbf{5} 2097 \mathbf{5} 20589
$$

# Preattentive Processing 

Form<br>Color<br>Spatial Position

Form


## But Beware of 2-D Size

- People have a very hard time judging the relative size of 2-D objects
- Changing both length and width is a 2-D change
- Avoid unless you have a specific reason to do this - maybe you're drawing building sizes



## But Beware of 2-D Size

- People have a very hard time judging the relative size of 2-D objects
- Changing both length and width is a 2-D change
- Avoid unless you have a specific reason to do this - maybe you're drawing building sizes


How much bigger is the small circle than the larger one?

## But Beware of 2-D Size

- People have a very hard time judging the relative size of 2-D objects
- Changing both length and width is a 2-D change
- Avoid unless you have a specific reason to do this - maybe you're drawing building sizes


How much bigger is the small circle than the larger one? $16 x$

## Color

1. Hue

- What you think of as "color"
- Blue, Green, etc

2. Intensity

- make it less intense: add a little gray


## Color

1. Hue

- What you think of as "color"
- Blue, Green, etc

2. Intensity

- make it less intense: add a little gray

Contrasting hues stand out. Intense colors stand out.

## Do We Perceive Them Quantitatively?

| Type | Attribute |
| :--- | :--- |
| Form | Length |
|  | Width |
|  | Orientation |
|  | Size |
|  | Shape |
|  | Enclosure |
| Color | Hue |
|  | Intensity |
| Position | 2-D Position |

## Do We Perceive Them Quantitatively?

| Type | Attribute | Quantitatively Perceived? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Form | Length | Yes |
|  | Width | Yes, but limited |
|  | Orientation | No |
|  | Size | Yes, but limited |
|  | Shape | No |
|  | Enclosure | No |
| Color | Hue | No |
|  | Intensity | Yes, but limited |
| Position | 2-D Position | Yes |

## Context Matters



## Context Matters



Calling Attention


## Gestalt Principles of Visual Perception

- Proximity
- Similarity
- Enclosure
- Closure
- Continuity

These all generate meaning, whether you intend it or not!

## Applying These Principles

- first a set of slides that do a so-so job
- second a set of slides that do a better (but improvable) job

Baseline Increase of $\$ 7.3$ Million per Mile


Measures of Government Quality Unrelated to Spending Increase

## Baseline

Has State Env. Protection Act
Land Use Cases per 10k People

## Bond Score

Num of Local Governments


Measures of Labor Strength Unrelated to Spending Increase

## Baseline

Has State Env. Protection Act
Land Use Cases per 10k People
Bond Score
Num of Local Governments
Right to Work Law
Share Unionized

## Share Voting Dem. Pres. Candidate

0

```
24
4
additional spending per mile, \(\$ 2016\) millions
```

6

Using the Principles of Proximity and Similarity


Using the Principles of Proximity and Similarity


Using the Principles of Proximity and Similarity


Using the Principles of Proximity and Similarity


Using the Principles of Proximity and Similarity

|  | Baseline |
| :---: | :---: |
| Land Use Law | Land Use Cases per 10k People |
|  | Has State Env. Protection Act |
| Fragmentation Gov't Quality | Num of Local Governments |
|  | Bond Score |
| Labor Strength | Share Unionized |
|  | Right to Work Law |
|  | Share Voting Dem. Pres. Candidate |
| $\stackrel{2}{0} \underset{\text { Additional spending per mile, }}{\text {, }} \stackrel{4}{2} 216$ millions ${ }_{6}^{6}$ |  |

Today
A. What is Merging?
B. How to Merge 1:1
C. How to Merge Many to 1
D. Cautions with merging
A. Merging

- suppose you have information in more than one dataframe
- you want to combine these pieces of information
- this is an enormous advantage of statistical software


## Examples of When You Need to Merge

Ex. 1:

- you have a dataset on crimes, with addresses
- you want to add the neighborhood median income
- $\rightarrow$ merge by neighborhood id!


## Examples of When You Need to Merge

Ex．1：
－you have a dataset on crimes，with addresses
－you want to add the neighborhood median income
$\rightarrow \rightarrow$ merge by neighborhood id！
Ex．2：
－you have a dataset of student performance
－you want to add information on teacher
－$\rightarrow$ merge by teacher id！

## Merging Command Overview

```
merge(x = data.frame.1,
    y = data.frame.2,
    by = "varname",
    all = TRUE)
```

Merging Command Overview

```
merge(x = data.frame.1,
    y = data.frame.2,
    by = "varname",
    all = TRUE)
```

Now a very simple example

Sample dataframe 1

```
df1 <- data.frame(class = c(1,2,3),
    subject = c("basics","basics","graphs"))
df1
## class subject
## 1 1 basics
## 2 2 basics
## 3 3 graphs
```

Sample dataframe 2

```
df2 <- data.frame(class = c(1,2,3),
    attendance = c(33,45,26))
df2
## class attendance
## 1 1 33
## 2 2 45
## 3 3 26
```

B．Merge 1：1

```
df3 <- merge(x = df1, y = df2, by = "class", all = TRUE)
```

How many rows should this have？
B. Merge 1:1

```
df3 <- merge(x = df1, y = df2, by = "class", all = TRUE)
```

How many rows should this have?
df3
\#\# class subject attendance
\#\# 11 basics 33
\#\# 22 basics 45
\#\# 3 3 graphs 26

## C. Merge m:1

- this is a merge that has unique values in one dataset
- and repeat values in another
- for us, repeat values are in subject

Dataset to merge in

```
df4 <- data.frame(subject = c("basics","graphs"),
                        difficulty = c("easy","hard"))
df4
## subject difficulty
## 1 basics easy
## 2 graphs hard
```

Merging in

$$
\mathrm{df} 5<-\operatorname{merge}(\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{df} 3, \mathrm{y}=\mathrm{df4}, \mathrm{by}=\text { "subject", all = TRUE) }
$$

How many rows should this have?

Merging in

```
df5 <- merge(x = df3, y = df4, by = "subject", all = TRUE)
```

How many rows should this have?
df5
\#\# subject class attendance difficulty
\#\# 1 basics 1 easy
\#\# 2 basics 2 easy
\#\# 3 graphs 3 hard

## D. Problems with Merging

- you want to merge 1:1 but one dataframe has repeat values


## D. Problems with Merging

- you want to merge 1:1 but one dataframe has repeat values
- you want to merge 1:1 but the merge doesn't work as expected (see tutorial)
- bad merges cause big problems


## Try Today's Tutorial

- Make a .R script for whole tutorial
- Plus questions at end
- Go forth!


## Next Lecture

- Turn in PS 2
- Read Few Chapter 9 and Chapter 10, pages 210-217 (on bars)
- Read Chang, Chapter 3
- Read two linked examples from WSJ
- Turn in policy brief proposal

